arXiv:1106.2999v2 [math.PR] 19 Jul 2011

Survival probabilities of some iterated processes

Christoph Baumgarten[1]

July 20, 2011

Abstract

We study the asymptotic behaviour of the probability that a stochastic process $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ does not exceed a constant barrier up to time T (the so called survival probability) when Z is the composition of two independent processes $(X_t)_{t\in I}$ and $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$. To be precise, we consider $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ defined by $Z_t = X \circ |Y_t|$ when $I = [0,\infty)$ and $Z_t = X \circ Y_t$ when $I = \mathbb{R}$.

For continuous self-similar processes $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$, the rate of decay of survival probability for Z can be inferred directly from the survival probability of X and the index of self-similarity of Y. As a corollary, we obtain that the survival probability for iterated Brownian motion decays asymptotically like $T^{-1/2}$.

If Y is discontinuous, the range of Y possibly contains gaps which complicates the estimation of the survival probability. We determine the polynomial rate of decay for X being a Lévy process (possibly two-sided if $I = \mathbb{R}$) and Y being a Lévy process or random walk under suitable moments conditions.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification. 60G18, 60G50, 60G51, 60J65.

Key words and phrases. Iterated process, iterated Brownian motion, one-sided barrier problem, one-sided exit problem, small deviations probability, survival exponent, survival probability.

---

[1] Technische Universität Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Sekr. MA 7-4, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany. Email: *baumgart@math.tu-berlin.de*.

1 Introduction

1.1 Statement of the problem

The one-sided exit problem consists in finding the asymptotic behaviour of

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} Z_t \leq 1\right), \quad T \to \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

for a given stochastic processes $Z = (Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$. The probability in (1.1) is often called survival or persistence probability up to time T. Since it usually cannot be computed explicitly, one aims to specify its asymptotic behaviour. If it decreases polynomially (modulo terms of lower order), i.e.

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} Z_t \leq 1\right) = T^{-\theta+o(1)}, \quad T \to \infty,$$

we call $\theta > 0$ the survival exponent.

Of course, (1.1) is a classical problem that has been studied for some particular processes such as random walks, Brownian motion with moving boundaries, integrated Brownian motion, fractional Brownian motion (fBm), and other Gaussian processes. Apart from pure theoretical interest, survival probabilities appear in many applications. For instance, the one-sided exit problem arises in various physical models such as reaction diffusion systems and granular media, see the survey of Majumdar (1999) for more examples. Moreover, the study of the one-sided exit problem was motivated by the investigation of the inviscid Burgers equation, see e.g. Sinai (1992); Bertoin (1998); Molchan (1999). For a relation to questions about random polynomials and more applications, we refer to Li and Shao (2004).

In this article, we consider the one-sided exit problem for processes $Z = (X \circ |Y_t|)_{t\geq 0}$ where $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $Y = (Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ are independent stochastic processes and $Z = (X \circ Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ if $X = (X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ ($\circ$ denotes function composition). Such processes will be referred to as *iterated processes.* Starting with the work of Burdzy (1993), the study of iterated Brownian motion has attracted a lot of interest. Moreover, there are interesting connections of the exit times of iterated processes and the solution of certain fourth-order PDEs (see e.g. Allouba and Zheng (2001) and Nane (2008)). The asymptotics of the survival probabilities of subordinated Brownian motion is also relevant for the study of Green functions (see e.g. Grzywny and Ryznar (2008)). However, the one-sided exit problems for itereted processes has not been studied systematically so far. Here we investigate how the survival exponent of $X \circ |Y|$ and $X \circ Y$ is related to that of the outer process X and properties of the inner process Y. The relevant scenario affecting the survival probability can be identified so that the results are quite intuitive. For small deviation probabilities (i.e. two-sided exit problems), this problem has been investigated by Aurzada and Lifshits (2009).

Finally, let us introduce some notation and conventions: If $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are two functions, we write $f \precsim g$ $(x \to \infty)$ if $\limsup_{x\to\infty} f(x)/g(x) < \infty$ and $f \asymp g$ if $f \precsim g$ and $g \precsim f$. Moreover, $f \sim g$ $(x \to \infty)$ if $f(x)/g(x) \to 1$ as $x \to \infty$. If $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a stochastic process, it will often be convenient to write $X(t)$ instead of X_t. If $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a discrete time process, we set $X_t = X_{\lfloor t \rfloor}$. Moreover, we say that $(X_t)_{t\in I}$ is self-similar of index H if $(Y_{ct})_{t\geq 0} \overset{d}{=} (c^H Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ for all $c > 0$ where $\overset{d}{=}$ denotes equality in distribution.

1.2 Main results

First, we consider processes $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ where Y is self-similar and continuous. In this setup, the following result can be established without much difficulty:

Theorem 1. *Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be independent stochastic processes. Assume that $Y_0 = 0$ and that Y has continuous paths. Moreover, suppose that Y is self-similar of index H. Let $\theta > 0$ and assume that*

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-\theta}, \quad T \to \infty,$$

and for some $\rho > \theta$,

$$0 < P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \leq \epsilon\right) \precsim \epsilon^{\rho}, \quad \epsilon \downarrow 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Then

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(|Y_t|) \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-\theta H}, \quad T \to \infty.$$

We remark that the assumption in (1.2) (on the so called small deviations of Y) is very weak since this probability usually decays exponentially fast. Moreover, the result can be explained quite intuitively: by self-similarity of Y, typical fluctuations of $|Y|$ up to time T are of order T^H. The rare event that X stays below 1 until time T^H is then of order $T^{-\theta H}$. The assumption in (1.2) prevents a contribution of the event that Y stays close to the origin to the survival exponent of $Z = X \circ |Y|$. In short, the survival probability of Z is determined by a rare event for X and a typical scenario for Y.
We present various examples in Section 2. For instance, if X and Y are independent Brownian motions, the survival exponent of $X \circ |Y|$ is $1/4$.
The assumption of continuity of the inner process Y allows us to write

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(|Y_t|) \leq 1\right) = P(X_t \leq 1, \forall t \in [0, (-I_T) \vee M_T])$$

where I and M denote the infimum resp. supremum process of Y. This will simplify the proof of the upper bound of Theorem 1 very much. If Y is discontinuous, the equality sign has to be replaced by $\geq$ in the preceding equation. It is then a by far more challenging task to find the survival exponent of $X \circ |Y|$ since the gaps in the range of $|Y|$ have to be taken into account. We prove the following theorem for X being a Lévy process and Y being a random walk or a Lévy process.

Theorem 2. *Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Lévy process such that $E[X_1] = 0$, $E[X_1^2] > 0$ and $E[\exp(|X_1|^\alpha)] < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote an independent random walk or Lévy process with $E[Y_1^2] > 0$ and $E\left[\exp\left(|Y_1|^\beta\right)\right] < \infty$ for some $\beta > 0$.*

1. *If $E[Y_1] = 0$, then $P\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(|Y_t|) \leq 1\big) = T^{-1/4+o(1)}$.*
2. *If $E[Y_1] \neq 0$, then $P\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(|Y_t|) \leq 1\big) = T^{-1/2+o(1)}$.*

The lower order terms can be specified more precisely, see Theorem 11 and Theorem 17. Again, the results are intuitive: If $E[Y_1] = 0$, the random walk oscillates and typical fluctuations up to time N are of magnitude $\sqrt{N}$. Since the survival exponent θ of a centered Lévy process with second finite moments is $1/2$, it is very plausible that the survival exponent of $X \circ |Y|$ is $1/4$ at least if the gaps in the range of the random walk are not too large. If $E[Y_1] > 0$, then $E[Y_N]/N \to E[Y_1]$ by the law of large numbers and one expects the survival exponent of $X \circ |Y|$ to be $1/2$ by the same reasoning.

We also exhibit an example showing that an analogous result to Theorem 2 does not hold if the increments of X are not stationary (cf. Remark 16) which explains the restriction to Lévy processes.

Up to now, the outer process $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ had the index set $[0,\infty)$, so it was only possible to evaluate X over the range of the absolute value of the inner process Y. In order to consider the one-sided exit problem for $X \circ Y$, we define two-sided processes $X = (X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ where

$$X_t := \begin{cases} X_t^+, & t \geq 0, \\ X_{-t}^-, & t < 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

and $(X^+)_{t\geq 0}$ and $(X_t^-)_{t\geq 0}$ are independent stochastic processes. We refer to X^+ and X^- as the branches of X. We prove that the previous results can be extended in a natural way for two-sided processes.

Theorem 3. *Let $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a two-sided process generated by X^- and X^+ with $X^- \overset{d}{=} X^+$. Assume that*

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-\theta}$$

for some $\theta > 0$. Let $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote an independent self-similar process of index H with $Y_0 = 0$ and continuous paths such that, as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$,

$$0 < P\left(-\inf_{t\in[0,1]} Y_t \leq \epsilon\right) \lesssim \epsilon^\eta, \quad 0 < P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} Y_t \leq \epsilon\right) \lesssim \epsilon^\eta, \quad P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \leq \epsilon\right) \lesssim e^{-\epsilon^{-\gamma}},$$

for some $\eta > \theta$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(Y_t) \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-2H\theta}, \quad T \to \infty.$$

We see that the survival exponent in the two-sided setting is twice the exponent of Theorem 1. This is quite intuitive since by independence of X^+ and X^-, we have that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[-T,T]} X_t \leq 1\right) = P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t^+ \leq 1\right) P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t^- \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-2\theta}.$$

Since the fluctuations of Y up to time T are of magnitude $\pm T^H$ with high probability (this is again ensured by the conditions on Y which are stronger than in Theorem 1), Theorem 3 appears very natural.

In Theorem 3, we have assumed that the branches of X have the same distribution. This was done for simplicity of exposition, see Theorem 19 for the general case.

As a corollary to Theorem 3, we obtain that the survival exponent of iterated Brownian motion (using the terminology introduced by Burdzy (1993)) is 1/2.

The result corresponding to Theorem 2 in the two-sided setup is

Theorem 4. *Let $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ denote a two-sided Lévy process with branches X^+, X^- such that $E\left[X_1^\pm\right] = 0$, $E\left[(X_1^\pm)^2\right] > 0$, $E\left[\exp\left(\left|X_1^\pm\right|^\alpha\right)\right] < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote another Lévy process or random walk independent of X with $E\left[Y_1^2\right] > 0$ and $E\left[\exp\left(|Y_1|^\beta\right)\right] < \infty$ for some $\beta > 0$. Then*

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(Y_t) \leq 1\right) = T^{-1/2+o(1)}, \quad T \to \infty.$$

Theorem 4 shows that the survival exponent is equal to 1/2 no matter if $E\left[Y_1\right] = 0$ or not (in contrast to Theorem 2, see Remark 24 for an explanation).

We remark that some processes such as fBm are by definition two-sided processes that cannot be written as in (1.3) since their branches are not independent. We briefly touch upon that case in Section 4.3.

The remainder of the article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we assume that the inner process Y is a continuous self-similar process. We compute the survival exponent of $X \circ |Y|$ (Theorem 1) and provide a couple of examples. Next, we turn to discontinuous processes Y. The survial exponent of $X \circ |Y|$ is found for X being a Lévy process and Y being a random walk or Lévy process (Theorem 2) in Section 3. Finally, we extend the previous results to two-sided processes (Theorem 3 and Theorem 4) in Section 4.

2 Taking the supremum over the range of a continuous self-similar process

If $Y = (Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a stochastic process, denote by $\mathcal{F}_t^Y := \sigma(Y_s : 0 \leq s \leq t)$ the filtration generated by Y up to time t. Let us now prove a slightly more general statement than Theorem 1 announced in the introduction. Theorem 1 then follows directly from Theorem 5 and Lemma 7 below.

Theorem 5. *Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be independent stochastic processes. Assume that $Y_0 = 0$ and that Y has continuous paths and is self-similar of index H. Let $\theta > 0$.*

1. *If $P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \leq 1\right) = T^{-\theta+o(1)}$ and if $E\left[(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t|)^{-\eta}\right] < \infty$ for every $\eta \in (0,\theta)$, then*
$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(|Y_t|) \leq 1\right) = T^{-\theta H+o(1)}, \quad T \to \infty.$$
2. *If $P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-\theta}$ and if $E\left[(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t|)^{-\theta}\right] < \infty$, then*
$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(|Y_t|) \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-\theta H}, \quad T \to \infty.$$

Proof. Upper bound: Let $\epsilon \in (0,\theta)$. By assumption, we can find constants $C, T_0 > 0$ such that $P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \leq 1\right) \leq CT^{-\theta+\epsilon}$ for all $T > T_0$. Clearly, we can choose C so large that the inequality holds for all $T > 0$. By continuity of Y, the fact that $Y_0 = 0$ and independence of X and Y and self-similarity of Y, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(|Y_t|) \leq 1\right) &= E\left[P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\sup_{u\in[0,T]}|Y_u|]} X_t \leq 1 | \mathcal{F}_T^Y\right)\right] \\ &\leq CE\left[\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t|\right)^{-\theta+\epsilon}\right] = CE\left[\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t|\right)^{-\theta+\epsilon}\right] T^{-\theta H+\epsilon H}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t|)^{-\theta+\epsilon}$ is integrable for $\epsilon \in (0,\theta)$, this proves the upper bound in the first case. Under the assumptions of 2., the lines above apply with $\epsilon = 0$.
Lower bound: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(|Y_t|) \leq 1\right) &\geq P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t| \leq T^H, \sup_{t\in[0,\sup_{u\in[0,T]}|Y_u|]} X_t \leq 1\right) \\ &\geq P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t| \leq T^H\right) P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T^H]} X_t \leq 1\right) \\ &= P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} Y_t \leq 1\right) P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T^H]} X_t \leq 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lower bounds. $\square$

Remark 6. The proof reveals that the lower bounds of Theorem 5 are also valid without continuity of paths of Y and the integrability assumption on Y. Moreover, the proof of the lower bounds reveals the crucial scenario that determines the survival probability of the composed process.

The integrability conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied under very mild assumptions on the small deviations of the process Y. For convenience, let us state this result in the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let Z be a random variable such that $Z > 0$ a.s. and $P(Z \leq \epsilon) \lesssim \epsilon^{\rho}$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ for some $\rho > 0$. Then for $\eta \in (0, \rho)$, it holds that*

$$E\left[Z^{-\eta}\right] < \infty.$$

Conversely, if $E\left[Z^{-\eta}\right] < \infty$ for some $\eta > 0$, then

$$P(Z \leq \epsilon) \lesssim \epsilon^{\eta}.$$

Proof. Since $E\left[Z^{-\eta}\right] = E\left[(Z^{-1})^{\eta}\right]$ and the latter expectation is finite if $P(Z^{-1} > x) \lesssim x^{-\rho}$ as $x \to \infty$ for some $\rho > \eta$, the first claim follows with $\epsilon = 1/x$.
Finally, if $E\left[Z^{-\eta}\right] < \infty$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, one has

$$E\left[Z^{-\eta}\right] \geq E\left[Z^{-\eta}; Z \leq \epsilon\right] \geq \epsilon^{-\eta} P(Z \leq \epsilon).$$

$\square$

Although the proof of Theorem 5 is very simple, the result is applicable to many examples.

Example 8. If X and Y are independent Brownian motions then $\theta = 1/2$ and $H = 1/2$. Since

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |B_t| \leq \epsilon\right) \leq Ce^{-(\pi^2/8)\,\epsilon^{-2}}, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

it is clear that (1.2) holds for every $\rho > 0$. Hence, Theorem 1 implies that the survival exponent $X \circ |Y|$ of is $1/4$.
More generally, if W and $B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(n)}$ are independent Brownian motions, it follows for any $n \geq 1$ that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} W\left(\left|B^{(1)}\right| \circ \cdots \circ \left|B^{(n)}_t\right|\right) \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-2^{-(n+1)}}, \quad T \to \infty.$$

Example 9. Let X be a process with survival exponent $\theta > 0$. Define $Y_t^{(0)} = W_t$ where W is a Brownian motion independent of X and define the n-times integrated Brownian motion $Y^{(n)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ recursively by

$$Y_t^{(n)} = \int_0^t Y_s^{(n-1)}\, ds, \quad t \geq 0, n \geq 1.$$

One can check that $Y^{(n)}$ is self-similar with index $H^{(n)} = (2n+1)/2$. Moreover, the small deviations of n-times integrated Brownian motion are known (see Theorem 1.3 of Chen and Li (2003)): There exists a constant $\kappa_n \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon^{2/(2n+1)} \log P\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| Y_t^{(n)} \right| \leq \epsilon \right) = -\kappa_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

In particular, this implies that (1.2) is satisfied for any $\rho > 0$. The survival exponent of the iterated process $X \circ \left| Y^{(n)} \right|$ is therefore $\theta(2n+1)/2$ for any $n \geq 1$. In particular, if X is a Brownian motion independent of the Brownian motion W, the survival exponent is $(2n+1)/4$.

3 Taking the supremum over the range of discontinuous processes

3.1 Random walks

Let $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. In the sequel, $S = (S_n)_{n \geq 1}$ denotes the corresponding random walk, i.e. $S_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$. Let $\mathcal{F}_N^S := \sigma(S_1, \dots, S_N)$, $M_n := \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k$ and $I_n := \inf_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k$.
The goal of this section is to find the asymptotics of

$$P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(|S_n|) \leq 1 \right), \qquad N \to \infty,$$

where $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Lévy process with $E[X_1] = 0$ and $E[X_1^2] \in (0, \infty)$. First, we recall known results on survival probabilities of Lévy processes and prove a slight generalization. Under the assumptions on X above, it holds that

$$P\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} X_t \leq 1 \right) \sim c\, T^{-1/2}\, l(T), \quad T \to \infty,$$

where l is slowly varying at infinity and $c > 0$, see e.g. Bingham (1973) or Doney (2007) (Section 4.4) for details. Our goal is to show that the function l may be chosen asymptotically constant which is suggested by the analogous result for random walks: If $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$

is a centered random walk with finite variance, then $P\left(\sup_{n=1,\ldots,N} S_n \leq 0\right) \sim cN^{-1/2}$. However, to the author's knowledge, an analogous result for Lévy processes has not been stated in the literature so far.

Clearly, $P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \leq 1\right) \leq P\left(\sup_{n=1,\ldots,\lfloor T\rfloor} X_n \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-1/2}$ since $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a centered random walk with finite variance. Moreover, if $E\left[X_1^{2+\epsilon}\right] < \infty$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then also $P\left(\sup_{n=1,\ldots,\lfloor T\rfloor} X_n \leq 1\right) \gtrsim T^{-1/2}$, see e.g. Proposition 2.1 in Aurzada and Dereich (2011+). The next theorem states the precise asymptotics of $P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \leq 1\right)$ as $T \to \infty$ under the assumption of finite variance.

Theorem 10. *Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Lévy process such that $E[X_1] = 0$, $E[X_1^2] \in (0,\infty)$. For any $x > 0$, it holds that*

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \leq x\right) \sim c(x)\, T^{-1/2}, \quad T \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let τ_x be the first hitting time of the set (x,∞), $x > 0$. According to Eq. 4.4.7 of Doney (2007), it holds that

$$1 - E\left[e^{-q\tau_x}\right] \sim U(x)\kappa(q), \quad q \downarrow 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where U is some function (see Eq. 4.4.6 of Doney (2007)) and

$$\kappa(u) = \exp\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-ut}}{t} P(X_t > 0)\, dt\right), \quad u \geq 0.$$

Using that $\int_0^\infty t^{-1}(e^{-t} - e^{-ut})\, dt = \log u$, it follows that

$$\kappa(u) = \sqrt{u}\, \exp\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-ut}}{t} \left(P(X_t > 0) - 1/2\right) dt\right).$$

We need to show that the integral in the last line converges to a constant as $u \downarrow 0$. To this end, we approximate the term $P(X_t > 0)$ by $P(X_n > 0)$ for $t \in [n, n+1]$ which allows us to use classical results from fluctuation theory of random walks to bound the integral from above.

Let $u \in (0,1)$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ut} - e^{-t}}{t} \left|P(X_t > 0) - 1/2\right| dt &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t} \left|P(X_t > 0) - 1/2\right| dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_n^{n+1} \frac{e^{-ut} - e^{-t}}{t} \left|P(X_t > 0) - P(X_n > 0)\right| dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_n^{n+1} \frac{e^{-ut} - e^{-t}}{t} \left|P(X_n > 0) - 1/2\right| dt \\ &\leq c + \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-1} \sup_{t\in[n,n+1]} \left|P(X_t > 0) - P(X_n > 0)\right| + \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-1} \left|P(X_n > 0) - 1/2\right| \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By a result of Rosén (1962), it is known that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}(P(X_n > 0) - 1/2)$ converges absolutely if $E[X_1] = 0$ and $E[X_1^2] \in (0, \infty)$, so the second series in (3.5) converges. Next, we show that the first series also converges. To this end, let $t \in [n, n+1]$. For $f(n) > 0$ (to be chosen appropriately below), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_t > 0) - P(X_n > 0) &\leq P(X_t > 0, X_n \leq 0) = P(X_n \leq 0, (X_t - X_n) + X_n > 0) \\ &\leq P(X_n \leq -f(n), X_t - X_n > f(n)) + P(0 \geq X_n > -f(n)) \\ &\leq \sup_{u \in [0,1]} P(X_u > f(n)) + P(|X_n| \leq f(n)) \\ &\leq \frac{E[X_1^2]}{f(n)^2} + P(|X_n| \leq f(n)) . \end{aligned}$$

We have used the independence and stationarity of increments of X and the fact that $E[X_t^2] = t \cdot E[X_1^2]$ for $t \geq 0$ in the above estimates. By the same argument, one shows that $P(X_n > 0) - P(X_t > 0) \leq \frac{E[X_1^2]}{f(n)^2} + P(|X_n| \leq f(n))$, so for $f(n) = n^{1/6}$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \sup_{t \in [n,n+1]} |P(X_t > 0) - P(X_n > 0)| \leq E\left[X_1^2\right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-4/3} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P\left(|X_n| \leq n^{1/6}\right) .$$

We claim that

$$P\left(|X_n| \leq n^{1/6}\right) = P\left(|X_n| / \sqrt{n} \leq n^{-1/3}\right) \asymp n^{-1/3}, \quad n \to \infty \tag{3.6}$$

If this holds, the first series in (3.5) is finite and we conclude that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t} \, |P(X_t > 0) - 1/2| \, dt = \sup_{u \in (0,1)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ut} - e^{-t}}{t} \, |P(X_t > 0) - 1/2| \, dt < \infty.$$

Hence,

$$\kappa(u) \sim \sqrt{u} \exp\left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t} \left(P(X_t > 0) - 1/2\right) dt \right), \quad u \downarrow 0.$$

The theorem now follows from (3.4) by standard Tauberian arguments.

It remains to show that (3.6) holds which follows from local limit theorems. Indeed, if X_1 has a nonlattice distribution (i.e. $|E[\exp(iuX_1)]| < 1$ for $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$), Theorem 1 of Stone (1965) yields that

$$P\left(X_n / \sqrt{n} \in (x, x+h]\right) = P(Z \in (x, x+h]) + o_n(1)(h + n^{-1/2}), \quad n \to \infty,$$

where Z is standard normal and the $o(\cdot)$-term is uniform in h and x. Hence,

$$P\left(X_n / \sqrt{n} \in (-n^{-1/3}, n^{-1/3}]\right) \precsim n^{-1/3}, \quad N \to \infty,$$

since $P(Z \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]) \sim \sqrt{2/\pi}\, \epsilon$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.

If X_1 has a lattice distribution, then (3.6) follows from a theorem of Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1968) (p.233). $\square$

Having determined the asymptotics of the survival probability for X, let us continue to give some heuristics concerning the survival exponent of $X \circ |S|$. If $E[Y_1] = 0$ and $E[Y_1^2] = 1$, it follows from the invariance principle that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} |S_n| \le \sqrt{N}\, x\right) = P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |B_t| \le x\right), \quad x > 0.$$

Here, B denotes a standard Brownian motion. Intuitively, one would therefore expect that

$$P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(|S_n|) \le 1\right) \asymp P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\sqrt{N}]} X_t \le 1\right) \asymp N^{-1/4},$$

at least if the points $|S_1|, \dots, |S_N|$ are sufficiently "dense" in $[0, \sqrt{N}]$. Under suitable moment conditions on the random walk, we show that the survival exponent is indeed 1/4. For simplicity of notation, we denote by $\mathcal{X}(\gamma)$ the class of random variables X with $E[X^2] > 0$ and $E\left[e^{|X|^\gamma}\right] < \infty$ where $\gamma > 0$.

Theorem 11. *Let $(X_t)_{t\ge 0}$ denote a Lévy process with $E[X_1] = 0$ and $X_1 \in \mathcal{X}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1]$. Assume that $(Y_n)_{n\ge 1}$ is independent of X with $Y_1 \in \mathcal{X}(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in (0,1]$.*

1. *If $E[Y_1] = 0$, then*

$$N^{-1/4} \precsim P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(|S_n|) \le 1\right) \precsim N^{-1/4} (\log N)^{1/4 + 1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}, \quad N \to \infty.$$

2. *If $E[Y_1] \ne 0$, then*

$$N^{-1/2} \precsim P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(|S_n|) \le 1\right) \precsim N^{-1/2} (\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}, \quad N \to \infty.$$

In either case, the lower bound also holds without the assumption of stretched exponential moments on X_1 and Y_1.

The lower bounds follow from the lowers bounds of Theorem 17 below in view of (3.20).

Upper bound: Let us first introduce some more notation: Denote by $\sigma(n)$ the n-th time that the random walk S reaches a new maximum. Then $M_{\sigma(n)} = S_{\sigma(n)}$ is the position of the random walk at that time and

$$S_{\sigma(n)} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{H}_n$$

where $\mathcal{H}_n = S_{\sigma(n)} - S_{\sigma(n-1)}$ is the n-th ladder height and $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots$ are i.i.d. (see e.g. Feller (1970), Chapter XII).

Before proving the upper bound of Theorem 11, we need two auxiliary results.

Lemma 12. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 11, if* $E[Y_1] \geq 0$, *then for any* $p > 0$, *one can find a constant* $c > 0$ *such that*

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_1]} X_t \geq c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\right) \precsim N^{-p}, \quad N \to \infty.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_1]} X_t > c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\right)\\
&\quad\leq P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_1]} X_t > c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}, \mathcal{H}_1 \leq d(\log N)^{1/\beta}\right) + P\left(\mathcal{H}_1 > d(\log N)^{1/\beta}\right)\\
&\quad\leq P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,d(\log N)^{1/\beta}]} X_t > c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\right) + P\left(\mathcal{H}_1 > d(\log N)^{1/\beta}\right). \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

We distinguish the cases $E[Y_1] = 0$ and $E[Y_1] > 0$.

Case 1: First, assume that $E[Y_1] = 0$. The second term in (3.7) can be controlled by Chebychev's inequality and a result on the moments of ladder heights of Doney (1980): Set $\varphi(x) = e^{x^\beta} x^{\beta-1}$ on $[x_0, \infty)$ where x_0 is chosen in such a way that φ is increasing on this interval. On $[0, x_0]$, let φ be a non-negative bounded increasing function such that φ is differentiable and increasing on $(0,\infty)$. Set $\Phi(x) := \int_0^x \varphi(u)\,du$, $x \geq 0$. Then Φ is bounded on $[0, x_0]$ by some constant $C > 0$ and for $x > x_0$, we have

$$\Phi(x) \leq C + \int_{x_0}^x e^{u^\beta} u^{\beta-1}\,du = C + \beta^{-1}\left(e^{x^\beta} - e^{x_0^\beta}\right) \leq C + \beta^{-1} e^{x^\beta}.$$

Therefore,

$$E[\Phi(|Y_1|)] \leq 2C + \beta^{-1} E\left[e^{|X_1|^\beta} 1_{\{|Y_1|\geq x_0\}}\right] < \infty$$

since $Y_1 \in \mathcal{X}(\beta)$. By Theorem 1 of Doney (1980), this implies $E[\varphi(\mathcal{H}_1)] < \infty$. In particular, for large N,

$$P\left(\mathcal{H}_1 > d(\log N)^{1/\beta}\right) \leq \frac{E[\varphi(\mathcal{H}_1)]}{\varphi(d(\log N)^{1/\beta})} = \frac{E[\varphi(\mathcal{H}_1)]}{d^{\beta-1}(\log N)^{(\beta-1)/\beta}} \exp(-d^\beta \log N).$$

It is clear that this term is $o(N^{-p})$ if d is sufficiently large.

Let us now consider the first term in (3.7). Assume first that $\alpha = 1$. Since X is a Lévy process, $E\left[e^{X_t}\right] = e^{\Lambda t}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\Lambda = \log E\left[e^{X_1}\right]$. Moreover, $(\exp(X_t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a positive submartingale since X is a martingale and therefore, it follows from Doob's inequality that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t > x\right) \leq e^{-x} E\left[e^{X_T}\right] = e^{-x+\Lambda T}, \quad T, x > 0.$$

We therefore get for the first term in (3.7) that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,d(\log N)^{1/\beta}]} X_t > c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)},\right) \le e^{-c(\log N)^{1/\alpha\wedge\beta}+\Lambda d(\log T)^{1/\beta}} \precsim N^{-p}$$

if c is chosen large enough since $\alpha\wedge\beta=\beta\le 1$.
If $\alpha<1$, we apply Crámer's theorem without exponential moments. To this end, recall the following maximal inequality for Lévy processes:

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_t| \ge x\right) \le 9\,P(X_T \ge x/30)\,, \quad T,x>0. \tag{3.8}$$

This follows from Montgomery-Smith's inequality for sums of centered i.i.d. random variables (Corollary 4 of Montgomery-Smith (1993)) since

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_t| \ge x\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} P\left(\sup_{k=1,\dots,n} \left|X_{kT/n}\right| \ge x\right) \le 9P(|X_T|\ge x/30)\,.$$

The application of Montgomery-Smith's inequality is possible since $X_{kT/n} = Y_{1,T/n} + \cdots + Y_{k,T/n}$ $(k=1,\dots,n)$ where $Y_{1,T/n},\dots,Y_{n,T/n}$ are i.i.d. random variables with $Y_{1,T/n} \overset{d}{=} X_{T/n}$.
Let $S=(S_n)_{n\ge 1}$ denote a random walk whose increments have the same law as X_1. Then we deduce from (3.8) that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \ge x\right) \le 9P\left(\left|S_{\lceil T\rceil}\right| \ge x/30\right) = 9\left(P\left(S_{\lceil T\rceil} \ge x/30\right) + P\left(-S_{\lceil T\rceil} \ge x/30\right)\right). \tag{3.9}$$

Next, it suffices to apply a large deviations result under the assumption of stretched exponential moments (see Eq. 2.32 in Nagaev (1979)): There is a constant $C_1>0$ such that for N and $x>0$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_N > \sigma x) &\le C_1\left(e^{-\sigma^2x^2/(20N)} + N\,P(X_1>\sigma x/2)\right) \\ &\le C_1\left(e^{-\sigma^2x^2/(20N)} + Ne^{-(\sigma x/2)^\alpha}\,E\left[e^{|X_1|^\alpha}\right]\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma^2 := E\,[X_1^2]$. Hence, combining this with (3.9), we have for some c and all N large enough that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,d(\log N)^{1/\beta}]} X_t \ge c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\right) \precsim N^{-p}.$$

Case 2: Assume that $E[Y_1] > 0$. The tail behaviour of the first ladder height $\mathcal{H}_1$ can be determined in view of the following estimates: For $x > 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_1 \geq x) \leq P(\mathcal{H}_1 \geq x) &= P(Y_1 \geq x) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P(S_1 \leq 0, \dots, S_{n-1} \leq 0, S_{n-1} + Y_n \geq x) \\ &\leq P(Y_1 \geq x) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P(S_1 \leq 0, \dots, S_{n-1} \leq 0, Y_n \geq x) \\ &= P(Y_1 \geq x) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(M_{n-1} \leq 0) \,. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to check that the latter series converges to a finite value d_1 since $E[Y_1] > 0$. Therefore, for any $p > 0$, we have that

$$P\big(\mathcal{H}_1 \geq d(\log N)^{1/\beta}\big) \leq d_1 P\big(Y_1 \geq d(\log N)^{1/\beta}\big) \leq d_1 \frac{E\left[e^{|Y_1|^\beta}\right]}{\exp(d^\beta \log N)} = o(N^{-p}).$$

for d sufficiently large. Hence, the same arguments used in the first case complete the proof. □

Here and later, we also need the following auxiliary result similar to Proposition 2.1 of Aurzada and Dereich (2011+).

Lemma 13. *Let* $f\colon [0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ *be a measurable function such that* $f(N)/\sqrt{N} \to 0$ *as* $N \to \infty$. *Let* $(Y_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *denote a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with* $E[Y_1] = 0$ *and* $Y_1 \in \mathcal{X}(\beta)$ *for some* $\beta \in (0,1]$. *Let* $(S_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *denote the corresponding random walk.*

1. *If* $f(N) \precsim (\log N)^{1/\beta}$, *then*

$$P(M_N \leq f(N)) \precsim (\log N)^{1/\beta}\, N^{-1/2}, \quad N \to \infty.$$

2. *If* $(\log N)^{1/\beta}/f(N) \to 0$ *as* $N \to \infty$, *then*

$$P(M_N \leq f(N)) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi E[Y_1^2]}}\, \frac{f(N)}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad N \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma^2 := E[Y_1^2]$. We need a result on the speed of convergence in the invariance principle under the assumption of stretched exponential moments. According to Sawyer (1968) (p. 363, Eq. 1.5), it holds that

$$\sup_{x\geq 0} \left| P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} S_n \leq x\sqrt{\sigma^2 N} \right) - P(|B_1| \leq x) \right| \precsim (\log N)^{1/\beta}\, N^{-1/2}, \quad N \to \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

Hence, since $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_t \overset{d}{=} |B_1|$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} P(M_N \le f(N)) &\le \left| P(M_N \le f(N)) - P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_t \le f(N)/\sqrt{\sigma^2 N}\right)\right| \\ &\quad + P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_t \le f(N)/\sqrt{\sigma^2 N}\right) \\ &\le C(\log N)^{1/\beta} N^{-1/2} + \sqrt{2/(\pi\sigma^2)}\, f(N)\, N^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Depending on the behaviour of $f(N)$ stated in the lemma, the order of the first or second term is the dominant one.
The same argument applies for the proof of the lower bound in the second case. □

Remark 14. Note that due to the uniform estimate in (3.10), the constant C in (3.11) only depends on N, but not on the function f. This observation will be relevant later on.

Remark 15. We frequently need to apply Lemma 13 to Lévy processes in the following situation: Let $(X_t)_{t\ge 0}$ denote a Lévy process such that $E[X_1] = 0$ and $X_1 \in \mathcal{X}(\alpha)$ and let $g\colon [0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ be a function such that $g(T) \to \infty$ as $T \to \infty$. For any $c, \rho > 0$, it follows for T large enough that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,g(T)]} X_t \le c(\log T)^\rho\right) &\le P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,\lfloor g(T)\rfloor} X_n \le c(\log T)^\rho\right) \\ &\le C\,\frac{(\log g(T))^{1/\alpha} + c(\log T)^\rho}{\sqrt{g(T)}}. \end{aligned}$$

This follows directly from the proof of Lemma 13 since $(X_n)_{n\ge1}$ is a random walk.

We are now ready to establish the upper bounds of Theorem 11.

Proof. (Upper bound of Theorem 11 if $E[Y_1] = 0$.)
For the upper bound, the idea is to consider the supremum of the Lévy process X only at those points where the random walks either reaches a new maximum or a new minimum. More specifically, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(|S_n|) \le 1\right) \\ &\quad\le P\left(\max_{n=1,\dots,N} |S_n| \le \sqrt{N}/f(N)\right) + P\left(M_N \ge \sqrt{N}/f(N),\ \sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(M_n) \le 1\right) \\ &\qquad + P\left(I_N \le -\sqrt{N}/f(N),\ \sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(-I_n) \le 1\right) =: J_1(N) + J_2(N) + J_3(N), \end{aligned}$$

where $f(N) := \sqrt{\log N}$.

Estimate for J_1:

This term is of lower order than $N^{-1/4}$ by a small deviations results of de Acosta (1983). Indeed, by Theorem 4.3 of de Acosta (1983), one has

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log P\Big(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} |S_n| \le \sqrt{N}/a_N\Big)}{a_N^2} \le -\pi^2/8 \tag{3.12}$$

whenever $0 < a_N \to \infty$ and $a_N^2/N \to 0$. This shows that

$$P\left(\max_{n=1,\dots,N} |S_n| \le \sqrt{N}/f(N)\right) = o(N^{-1/4}). \tag{3.13}$$

Estimate for J_2:

Let $A_N := \Big\{M_N \ge \sqrt{N}/f(N)\Big\}$. Conditioning on $\mathcal{F}_N^S$, we get

$$J_2(N) = P\left(A_N, \sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(M_n) \le 1\right) = E\left[1_{\{A_N\}}\, P\left(\sup_{n\,:\,\sigma(n)\le N} X(S_{\sigma(n)}) \le 1 | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right)\right].$$

We now estimate the term under the expectation sign. For $\rho > 0$ to be specified later, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1_{\{A_N\}} P\left(\sup_{n:\sigma(n)\le N} X(S_{\sigma(n)}) \le 1 | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right) &\le 1_{\{A_N\}} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,M_N]} X_t \le 1 + c(\log N)^\rho | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right) + \\
&\quad 1_{\{A_N\}} P\left(\bigcup_{n\,:\,\sigma(n)\le N} \left\{\sup_{t\in[S_{\sigma(n-1)},S_{\sigma(n)}]} X_t - X_{S_{\sigma(n-1)}} > c(\log N)^\rho\right\} | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right) \\
&\le P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\sqrt{N}/f(N)]} X_t \le 1 + c(\log N)^\rho\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{N} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S_{\sigma(n)}-S_{\sigma(n-1)}]} X_{t+S_{\sigma(n-1)}} - X_{S_{\sigma(n-1)}} > c(\log N)^\rho | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $X_1 \in \mathcal{X}(\alpha)$, it follows from Remark 15 that for N sufficiently large and $\rho \ge 1/\alpha$, one has

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\sqrt{N}/f(N)]} X_t \le 1 + c(\log N)^\rho\right) \le c_1 (\log N)^{\rho+1/4} N^{-1/4}. \tag{3.14}$$

Next, since X is a Lévy process independent of S, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S_{\sigma(n)}-S_{\sigma(n-1)}]} X_{t+S_{\sigma(n-1)}} - X_{S_{\sigma(n-1)}} > c(\log N)^\rho | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right) \\
&\quad = P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_n]} X_t > c(\log N)^\rho | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.14) and keeping in mind that the $\mathcal{H}_n$ are i.i.d., the above estimates imply that

$$J_2(N) \leq c_1(\log N)^{\rho+1/4}\, N^{-1/4} + N\, P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_1]} X_t > c(\log N)^{\rho}\right). \tag{3.15}$$

In view of Lemma 12, for $\rho := 1/(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ and c large enough, we conclude that

$$J_2(N) \leq c_2(\log N)^{1/4+1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\, N^{-1/4} + o\left(N^{-1/4}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

Estimate for J_3:
Using this time descending ladder epochs and heights (or considering the random walk $(-S_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in the previous step), one can prove analogously that

$$J_3(N) \leq c_2(\log N)^{1/4+1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\, N^{-1/4} + o\left(N^{-1/4}\right). \tag{3.17}$$

Combining (3.13), (3.16) and (3.17) finishes the proof of the upper bound if $E[Y_1] = 0$. □

Proof. (Upper bound of Theorem 11 if $E[Y_1] \neq 0$.)
It suffices to prove the lemma for the case $m := E[Y_1] > 0$. The result for $E[Y_1] < 0$ then follows by considering $-Y_1, -Y_2, \ldots$.
Clearly, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{n=1,\ldots,N} X(|S_n|) \leq 1\right) &\leq P(M_N \leq cN) + P\left(M_N \geq cN, \sup_{n=1,\ldots,N} X(M_n) \leq 1\right) \quad (3.18) \\ &=: J_1(N) + J_2(N). \end{aligned}$$

Estimate for J_1:
Note that $M_N = \max_{n=1,\ldots,N}\left(\tilde{S}_n + m\,n\right) \geq \tilde{S}_N + m\,N$ where $\tilde{S}_n = (Y_1 - m) + \cdots + (Y_n - m)$ is a centered random walk. Hence, for $c < m$, one has

$$J_1(N) = P(M_N \leq cN) \leq P\left(\tilde{S}_N \leq N(c-m)\right) = o(N^{-1/2}), \quad N \to \infty.$$

Estimate for J_2:
Let $A_N := \{M_N \geq cN\}$. Denote again by $\sigma(n)$ the n-th time that the random walk S reaches a new maximum and by $\mathcal{H}_n = S_{\sigma(n)} - S_{\sigma(n-1)}$ the n-th ladder height of S.

Using that the $\mathcal{H}_n$ are i.i.d., J_2 can be estimated as above:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2(N) &= E\left[1_{\{A_N\}} P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(M_n) \le 1 | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right)\right] \\
&\le E\left[1_{\{A_N\}} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,M_N]} X_t \le 1 + c_1(\log N)^\rho | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right)\right] \\
&\quad + E\left[1_{\{A_N\}} P\left(\bigcup_{n\,:\,\sigma(n)\le N} \left\{\sup_{t\in[S_{\sigma(n-1)},S_{\sigma(n)}]} X_t - X_{S_{\sigma(n-1)}} > c_1(\log N)^\rho\right\} | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right)\right] \\
&\le P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,cN]} X_t \le 1 + c_1(\log N)^\rho\right) \\
&\quad + E\left[1_{\{A_N\}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_n]} X_{t+S_{\sigma(n-1)}} - X_{S_{\sigma(n-1)}} > c_1(\log N)^\rho | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right)\right] \\
&\le c_2 \frac{(\log N)^\rho}{\sqrt{cN}} + NP\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_1]} X_t > c_1(\log N)^\rho\right). \qquad (3.19)
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds for N sufficiently large and $\rho \ge 1/\alpha$ by Remark 15. Applying Lemma 12, we conclude that for $\rho := 1/(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ and c_1 large enough, we have that

$$J_2(N) \precsim (\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\, N^{-1/2}, \quad N \to \infty.$$

□

Remark 16. One might wonder if the assumption that the outer process X is a Lévy process can be relaxed. In view of Theorem 5, one might guess that if X has a survival exponent $\theta > 0$, it would follow that

$$P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(|S_n|) \le 1\right) = N^{-\theta/2+o(1)}$$

under suitable moment conditions. However, this turns out to be false in general. As an example, consider a sequence $\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \dots$ of independent random variables with $P\left(\tilde{X}_n = 2\right) = 1 - P\left(\tilde{X}_n = 0\right) = 1/(n+1)$ for $n \ge 1$ and define $X = (X_t)_{t\ge 0}$ by

$$X_t = \tilde{X}_n \quad \text{if } t = (2n-1)/2 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad X_t = 0 \quad \text{else}.$$

Obviously, X does not have stationary increments. Moreover, it is not hard to check that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \le 1\right) \asymp P\left(\tilde{X}_1 = 0, \dots, \tilde{X}_{\lfloor T\rfloor} = 0\right) = \prod_{n=1}^{\lfloor T\rfloor} (1 - 1/(n+1)) \asymp T^{-1}.$$

If $(S_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a symmetric simple random walk, one has by construction that $X(|S_n|)=0$ for all n.
If X has stationary, but not necessarily independent increments, it seems hard to find sensible conditions on X under which Lemma 12 still holds. Moreover, it is also not clear if a statement similar to Remark 15 is valid. In view of these observations, the restriction that X is a Lévy process seems quite reasonable.

3.2 Lévy processes

It is not hard to extend Theorem 11 to the case that the inner process is a Lévy process. We state the result in the next theorem which completes the proof of Theorem 2 announced in the introduction.

Theorem 17. *Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be two independent Lévy processes such $E[X_1]=0$, $X_1\in\mathcal{X}(\alpha)$ and $Y_1\in\mathcal{X}(\beta)$ for some $\alpha,\beta\in(0,1]$.*

1. *If $E[Y_1]=0$, then*

$$T^{-1/4}\precsim P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}X(|Y_t|)\leq 1\right)\precsim T^{-1/4}(\log T)^{1/4+1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)},\quad T\to\infty.$$

2. *If $E[Y_1]\neq 0$, then*

$$T^{-1/2}\precsim P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}X(|Y_t|)\leq 1\right)\precsim T^{-1/2}(\log T)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)},\quad T\to\infty.$$

In either case, the lower bound also holds without the assumption of stretched exponential moments.

Proof. Upper bound:
Clearly, we have for all $T>0$ that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}X(|Y_t|)\leq 1\right)\leq P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,\lfloor T\rfloor}X(|Y_n|)\leq 1\right). \tag{3.20}$$

Since Y is a Lévy process, $(Y_n)_{n\geq 1}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}(Y_k-Y_{k-1})\right)_{n\geq 1}\overset{d}{=}(S_n)_{n\geq 1}$ where S is a random random walk whose increments are equal in distribution to Y_1. In particular, the assumptions of Theorem 11 are fulfilled proving the upper bound in both cases.
Lower bound for the case $E[Y_1]=0$:
Again, we have that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}X(|Y_t|)\leq 1\right)\geq P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,c\sqrt{T}]}X_t\leq 1\right)P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Y_t|\leq c\sqrt{T}\right)$$

Note that by Doob's inequality applied to the submartingale $(|Y_t|)_{t\geq 0}$, we obtain that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t| \leq c\sqrt{T}\right) = 1 - P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t| > c\sqrt{T}\right) \geq 1 - \frac{EY_T^2}{c^2 T} = 1 - EY_1^2/c^2 = 1/2$$

for $c := \sqrt{2\,E\left[Y_1^2\right]}$. We have used that $E\left[Y_t^2\right] = t \cdot EY_1^2$ for a square integrable Lévy martingale. This proves the lower bound if $E\left[Y_1\right] = 0$.
Lower bound for the case $E\left[Y_1\right] \neq 0$:
As before, for any $c > |E\left[Y_1\right]|$, we have

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(|Y_t|) \leq 1\right) \geq P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,cT]} X_t \leq 1\right) P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t| \leq cT\right).$$

Next, since $|Y_t| \leq |Y_t - E\left[Y_t\right]| + |E\left[Y_t\right]|$ and $E\left[Y_t\right] = E\left[Y_1\right] \cdot t$ for a Lévy process, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t| \leq cT\right) &\geq P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t - E\left[Y_t\right]| \leq (c - |E\left[Y_1\right]|)T\right) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{E\left[|Y_T - E\left[Y_T\right]|^2\right]}{(c - |E\left[Y_1\right]|)^2\, T^2} = 1 - \frac{E\left[|Y_1 - E\left[Y_1\right]|^2\right]}{(c - |E\left[Y_1\right]|)^2\, T} \to 1 \end{aligned}$$

as $T \to \infty$. We have again used Doob's inequality and the fact that $E\left[|Y_T - E\left[Y_T\right]|^2\right] = E\left[|Y_1 - E\left[Y_1\right]|^2\right] \cdot T$. This completes the proof of the lower bound. ☐

Remark 18. The above theorem can be strengthend if X is a symmetric Lévy process and Y is a subordinator. Assume w.l.o.g. that $Y_1 \geq 0$ a.s. Then $Z := X \circ Y$ is a symmetric Lévy process (see e.g. Lemma 2.15 of Kyprianou (2006)). In particular,

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} Z_t \leq 1\right) \precsim P\left(\sup_{n\in[0,\lfloor T\rfloor]} Z_n \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-1/2},$$

without any additional assumption of moments, see e.g. Proposition 1.4 of Dembo and Gao (2011). This oberservation suggests that Theorem 11 and 17 remain true under much weaker integrability conditions. In the proof of the upper bound, we needed stretched exponential moments in order to ensure that the distance of M_{n-1} and M_n does not become too large when $M_{n-1} < M_n$. This allowed us (at the cost of a lower order term) to consider the supremum of the process X over the whole interval from 0 to the maximum of the absolute value of the random walk up to time N instead of the set $\{|S_1|, \ldots, |S_N|\}$. Yet, even for a deterministic increasing sequence $(s_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that $s_N \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$ and a Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$, it is not obvious to find conditions on $(s_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that

$$P\left(\sup_{n=1,\ldots,N} B(s_n) \leq 1\right) \asymp P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,s_N]} B_t \leq 1\right) \asymp s_N^{-1/2}.$$

We refer to Aurzada and Baumgarten (2011) for related results.

4 Two-sided processes

In Sections 2, 3.1 and 3.2, the outer process $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ had the index set $[0,\infty)$, so it was only possible to evaluate X over the range of the absolute value of the inner process Y. In this section, we work with two-sided processes $X = (X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ allowing us to consider the one-sided exit problem for the process $X \circ Y$.
In Section 4.1, we assume that X is a two-sided process with independent branches defined in (1.3) and that the inner process Y is a self-similar continuous process before turning to the case of random walks and Lévy processes in Section 4.2. Finally, if X is a fractional Brownian motion indexed by $\mathbb{R}$, the branches of X are not independent (unless X is a two-sided Brownian motion). We provide a brief discussion of this case in Section 4.3.

4.1 Continuous self-similar processes

Here we prove a more general version of Theorem 3 which follows from Theorem 19, Lemma 7 and 20.

Theorem 19. *Let $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a two-sided process generated by X^- and X^+ with*

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t^- \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-\theta^-}, \quad P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t^+ \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-\theta^+}, \qquad T\to\infty$$

for some $\theta^-, \theta^+ > 0$. Let $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote an independent self-similar process of index H with continuous paths such that $Y_0 = 0$ and

$$E\left[\left(-\inf_{t\in[0,1]} Y_t\right)^{-\theta^-}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} Y_t\right)^{-\theta^+}\right] < \infty. \tag{4.21}$$

Then

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(Y_t) \leq 1\right) \asymp T^{-H(\theta^-+\theta^+)}, \quad T\to\infty.$$

Proof. Lower bound: Using the mutual independence of X^-, X^+ and Y, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(Y_t) \leq 1\right) \\ &\geq P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t| \leq T^H\right) P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T^H]} X_t^+ \leq 1\right) P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T^H]} X_t^- \leq 1\right) \\ &\asymp P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \leq 1\right) T^{-H\theta^-} T^{-H\theta^+}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we have used the self-similarity of Y.
Upper bound: Denote by I and M the infimum and maximum process of Y. By assumption, we can choose a constant C such that for all $T > 0$

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t^- \leq 1\right) \leq C\,T^{-\theta^-}, \quad P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t^+ \leq 1\right) \leq C\,T^{-\theta^+}.$$

Since the branches X^+ and X^- of X are independent, the fact that $Y_0 = 0$ and Y has continuous paths, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(Y_t) \leq 1\right) &= P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,-I_T]} X_t^- \leq 1, \sup_{t\in[0,M_T]} X_t^+ \leq 1\right) \\
&= E\left[P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,-I_T]} X_t^- \leq 1|\mathcal{F}_T^Y\right) P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,M_T]} X_t^+ \leq 1|\mathcal{F}_T^Y\right)\right] \\
&\leq C^2\, E\left[(-I_T)^{-\theta^-}\,(M_T)^{-\theta^+}\right] \\
&= C^2\, E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-}\,M_1^{-\theta^+}\right]\, T^{-H(\theta^-+\theta^+)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the last expectation is finite by assumption, the proof is complete. □

The applicability of Theorem 19 hinges on the verification that the expectation in (4.21) is finite. The next lemma states such a result. In fact, it turns out that (4.21) is not harder to verify than the integrability condition of Theorem 5 if the small deviations of Y satisfy a rather weak condition.

Lemma 20. *Let $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$. Assume that*

$$E\left[\left(-\inf_{t\in[0,1]} Y_t\right)^{-\eta_1}\right] + E\left[\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} Y_t\right)^{-\eta_2}\right] < \infty.$$

Moreover, assume that for some $\gamma > 0$, one has

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \leq \epsilon\right) \precsim \exp\left(-\epsilon^{-\gamma}\right), \qquad \epsilon \downarrow 0. \tag{4.22}$$

Then the expectation in (4.21) is finite for any $\theta^- \in (0, \eta_1)$ and $\theta^+ \in (0, \eta_2)$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-}\,M_1^{-\theta^+}\right] &\leq E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-}\,M_1^{-\theta^+}; -I_1 \leq \epsilon, M_1 \leq \epsilon\right] \\
&\quad + E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-}\,M_1^{-\theta^+}; -I_1 > \epsilon\right] + E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-}\,M_1^{-\theta^+}; M_1 > \epsilon\right] \\
&\leq E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-}\,M_1^{-\theta^+}; \sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \leq \epsilon\right] + \epsilon^{-\theta^-} E\left[M_1^{-\theta^+}\right] + \epsilon^{-\theta^+} E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-}\right]. \quad (4.23)
\end{aligned}$$

The two latter expectations are finite due the assumptions on the integrability of M_1 and I_1. Next, for $\epsilon < 1$, we can write

$$E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-} M_1^{-\theta^+};\ \sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \le \epsilon\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-} M_1^{-\theta^+}; \epsilon^{k+1} < \sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \le \epsilon^k\right].$$

We can choose $p > 1$ such that $p\theta^- < \eta_1$ and $p\theta^+ < \eta_2$. Let $q > 1$ such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Using Hölder's inequality in the second estimate, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-} M_1^{-\theta^+}; \epsilon^{k+1} < \sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \le \epsilon^k\right] \\
&\le \epsilon^{-\theta^-(k+1)} E\left[M_1^{-\theta^+}; \epsilon^{k+1} < -I_1, \sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \le \epsilon^k\right] \\
&\quad + \epsilon^{-\theta^+(k+1)} E\left[(-I_1)^{-\theta^-}; \epsilon^{k+1} < M_1, \sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \le \epsilon^k\right] \\
&\le \epsilon^{-\theta^-(k+1)} E\left[M_1^{-p\theta^+}\right]^{1/p} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \le \epsilon^k\right)^{1/q} \\
&\quad + \epsilon^{-\theta^+(k+1)} E\left[(-I_1)^{-p\theta^-}\right]^{1/p} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \le \epsilon^k\right)^{1/q}. \qquad (4.24)
\end{aligned}$$

By our choice of p and the integrability assumption of the lemma, we see that both expectations in the last expression are finite. Next, (4.22) implies that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \le \epsilon\right) \le C \exp(-\epsilon^{-\gamma})$ for all $\epsilon < 1$. Therefore, for $\epsilon < 1$ and $k \ge 1$, we have for any $\eta > 0$ that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{-\eta k} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |Y_t| \le \epsilon^k\right)^{1/q} \le C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{-\eta k} \exp\left(-\epsilon^{-\gamma k}/q\right) < \infty.$$

Hence, in view of (4.24), it follows that all expressions in (4.23) are finite. □

Remark 21. In view of Lemma 7, one can easily check whether the assumptions of Lemma 20 are fulfilled. For instance, if $Y = B$ is a Brownian motion, then

$$P\left(-\inf_{t\in[0,1]} B_t \le \epsilon\right) = P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_t \le \epsilon\right) = P(|B_1| \le \epsilon) \sim \sqrt{2/\pi}\,\epsilon, \quad \epsilon \downarrow 0$$

Hence,

$$E\left[\left(-\inf_{t\in[0,1]} B_t\right)^{-\theta^-} \left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} B_t\right)^{-\theta^+}\right] < \infty, \quad \theta^-, \theta^+ \in (0,1).$$

Note that we cannot use Hölder's inequality to establish this result if $\theta^-, \theta^+ \ge 1/2$.

We can now state a result for iterated Brownian motion (cf. Burdzy (1993)).

Corollary 22. *Let* $(B_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *be a two-sided Brownian motion and* $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ *denote another independent Brownian motion. Then*

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} B(W_t)\leq 1\right)\asymp T^{-1/2},\quad T\to\infty.$$

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 19 and Remark 21. □

Of course, we can apply Theorem 19 to any two-sided process X whose branches have survival exponents strictly smaller than one and Y being an independent Brownian motion. Examples for X therefore include two-sided intergrated Brownian motion (survival exponent $\theta^+=\theta^-=1/4$, two-sided symmetric Lévy processes ($\theta^+=\theta^-=1/2$) and fBm ($\theta^+=\theta^-=1-H$ where H is the Hurst parameter of the fBm (here, one has to use an obvious extension of Theorem 19 taking into account that the survial probability decays like $T^{-(1-H)+o(1)}$)). Of coure, the branches of X^- and X^+ need not have the same distribution.

4.2 Two-sided Lévy processes at random walk or Lévy times

Let us now consider the one-sided exit problem for the process $(X(S_n))_{n\geq 0}$ where S is again a random walk and X is a two-sided Lévy process, i.e. the branches of X are independent Lévy processes. The next theorem shows that the survival exponent is $1/2$ under suitable integrability conditions regardless of the sign of $E[S_1]$ in contrast to Theorem 11.

Theorem 23. *Let* $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *denote a two-sided Lévy process with branches* X^+, X^-, $E\left[X_1^-\right]=E\left[X_1^+\right]=0$ *and* $X_1^-, X_1^+\in\mathcal{X}(\alpha)$ *for some* $\alpha\in(0,1]$. *Let* $(Y_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *denote a sequence of i.i.d. random variables independent of* X *with* $Y_1\in\mathcal{X}(\beta)$ *for some* $\beta\in(0,1]$. *Let* $S_n=Y_1+\cdots+Y_n$. *Then*

$$P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(S_n)\leq 1\right)=N^{-1/2+o(1)},\quad N\to\infty.$$

More specifically:

1. *If* $E[Y_1]=0$, *then*

$$N^{-1/2}\lesssim P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(S_n)\leq 1\right)\lesssim N^{-1/2}\,(\log N)^{1/2+2/(\alpha\wedge\beta)},\quad N\to\infty.$$

2. *If* $E[Y_1]\neq 0$, *then*

$$N^{-1/2}\lesssim P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(S_n)\leq 1\right)\lesssim N^{-1/2}\,(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)},\quad N\to\infty.$$

In either case, the lower bound also holds without the assumption of stretched exponential moments on X_{-1}, X_1 and Y_1.

Proof. The lower bound can be established as in the proof of Theorem 17 if $E[Y_1] = 0$. If $E[Y_1] > 0$ (say), using that $\inf_{n\geq 1} S_n$ is a finite random variable a.s., the result follows along similar lines.
For the upper bound, assume first that $E[Y_1] > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_N := P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(S_n) \leq 1\right) &\leq P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X^+(M_n) \leq 1\right) \\ &\leq P(M_N \leq cN) + P\left(M_N \geq cN, \sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X^+(M_n) \leq 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

The second line is just equation (3.18), so the result follows from the proof the upper bound of Theorem 11.
If $E[Y_1] < 0$, the result follows by applying the theorem to the random walk $(-S_n)_{n\geq 1}$.
Let us finally consider the case $E[Y_1] = 0$. Let $f(N) := \sqrt{\log N}$, $N \geq 1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} p_N &\leq P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} |S_n| \leq N^{1/2}/f(N)\right) + \\ &\quad + P\left(M_N \leq N^{1/2}/f(N), -I_N > N^{1/2}/f(N), \sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(S_n) \leq 1\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(M_N > N^{1/2}/f(N), -I_N \leq N^{1/2}/f(N), \sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(S_n) \leq 1\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(M_N > N^{1/2}/f(N), -I_N > N^{1/2}/f(N), \sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(S_n) \leq 1\right) \\ &=: J_1(N) + J_2(N) + J_3(N) + J_4(N). \end{aligned}$$

First, recall that $J_1(N) = o(N^{-1/2})$ (cf. (3.12)). It remains to estimate the terms J_2 and J_4. The term J_3 can be dealt with analogously to J_2.
Step 1:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(N) &\leq P\left(M_N \leq N^{1/4}, -I_N > N^{1/2}/f(N), \sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X^-(-I_n) \leq 1\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(N^{1/4} \leq M_N \leq N^{1/2}/f(N), -I_N > N^{1/2}/f(N), \sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X(S_n) \leq 1\right) \\ &=: K_{2,1}(N) + K_{2,2}(N). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now find upper bounds for $K_{2,j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Denote by $\sigma^+(n)$ resp. $\sigma^-(n)$ the n-th time that the random walk S reaches a new maximum resp. minimum and by $\mathcal{H}_n^+ := S_{\sigma^+(n)} - S_{\sigma^+(n-1)}$ resp. $\mathcal{H}_n^- := -(S_{\sigma^-(n)} - S_{\sigma^-(n-1)})$ the corresponding ascending resp. descending ladder heights.

Step 2:

First, note that

$$K_{2,1}(N) = E\left[1_{\left\{M_N \le N^{1/4}\right\}} 1_{\left\{-I_N > N^{1/2}/f(N)\right\}} P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X^-(-I_n) \le 1 | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right)\right].$$

Next, proceeding just as in the proof of Theorem 11, we obtain for N large enough that

$$\begin{aligned} q_N &:= 1_{\left\{-I_N > N^{1/2}/f(N)\right\}} P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X^-(-I_n) \le 1 | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right) \\ &\le 1_{\left\{-I_N > N^{1/2}/f(N)\right\}} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,-I_N]} X_t^- \le 1 + c(\log N)^\rho | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(\bigcup_{n:\sigma^-(n)\le N} \left\{\sup_{t\in[-S_{\sigma^-(n-1)}, -S_{\sigma^-(n)}]} X_t^- - X^-(S_{\sigma^-(n-1)}) \ge c(\log N)^\rho\right\} | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right) \\ &\le C\frac{c(\log N)^\rho}{N^{1/4}/\sqrt{f(N)}} + \sum_{n=1}^N P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_n^-]} X_t^- > c(\log N)^\rho | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

In the last inequality, we have used Remark 15 assuming that $\rho \ge 1/\alpha$. Using that the $\mathcal{H}_n^-$ are i.i.d., this shows that

$$K_{2,1}(N) \le C\frac{c(\log N)^{\rho+1/4}}{N^{1/4}} P\left(M_N \le N^{1/4}\right) + NP\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_1^-]} X_t^- > c(\log N)^\rho\right).$$

Applying the second part of Lemma 13 with $\tilde{f}(N) := N^{1/4}$ to the first summand and Lemma 12 to the second, we obtain with $\rho := 1/(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ for c large enough

$$K_{2,1}(N) \precsim \frac{c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)+1/4}}{N^{1/4}} N^{-1/4} + N^{-1/2} \precsim (\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)+1/4} N^{-1/2}. \tag{4.26}$$

Let us now find an upper bound on $K_{2,2}$. Set

$$r_N := 1_{\left\{N^{1/4} \le M_N \le N^{1/2}/f(N)\right\}} P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X^+(M_n) \le 1 | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right).$$

Since X^- and X^+ are independent, we have in view of (4.25) and $r_N \le 1$ that

$$K_{2,2} \le E\left[r_N q_N\right] \le \frac{d(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)+1/4}}{N^{1/4}} E\left[r_N\right] + NP\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_1^-]} X_t^- > c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\right). \tag{4.27}$$

Let $a(k) := \sum_{l=1}^{k} 2^{-(l+1)} = (1-2^{-k})/2$, $k \geq 1$. Since $a(N) \to 1/2$, we can find $\gamma(N)$ such that $N^{a(\gamma(N))} \geq N^{1/2}/f(N)$. Indeed, this just amounts to

$$a(\gamma(N)) = \frac{(1-2^{-\gamma(N)})}{2} \geq \frac{\log(N^{1/2}/f(N))}{\log N} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\log\log N}{2\log N}, \tag{4.28}$$

i.e.

$$\gamma(N) \geq \frac{1}{\log 2} \log\left(\frac{\log N}{\log\log N}\right).$$

Hence, it suffices to set $\gamma(N) := \lceil (\log\log N)/\log 2 \rceil$.
Next, note that $\left\{N^{1/4} \leq M_N \leq N^{1/2}/f(N)\right\} \subseteq \left\{N^{a(1)} \leq M_N \leq N^{a(\gamma(N))}\right\}$ and proceeding as in (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
r_N &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\gamma(N)-1} 1_{\left\{N^{a(k)} \leq M_N \leq N^{a(k+1)}\right\}} P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X^+(M_n) \leq 1 | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\gamma(N)-1} 1_{\left\{N^{a(k)} \leq M_N \leq N^{a(k+1)}\right\}} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,M_N]} X_t^+ \leq 1 + c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)} | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\gamma(N)-1} 1_{\left\{N^{a(k)} \leq M_N \leq N^{a(k+1)}\right\}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_n^+]} X_t^+ > c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)} | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\gamma(N)-1} 1_{\left\{M_N \leq N^{a(k+1)}\right\}} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,N^{a(k)}]} X_t^+ \leq 2c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\right) \\
&\quad + \gamma(N) \sum_{n=1}^{N} P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\mathcal{H}_n^+]} X_t > c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)} | \mathcal{F}_N^S\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectations and keeping in mind Lemma 12 and Remark 15, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[r_N\right] &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\gamma(N)-1} P\left(M_N \leq N^{a(k+1)}\right) P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,N^{a(k)}]} X_t^+ \leq 2c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\right) + o(N^{-1/2}) \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\gamma(N)-1} P\left(M_N \leq N^{a(k+1)}\right) (\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\, N^{-a(k)/2} + o(N^{-1/2})
\end{aligned}$$

for some c large enough. In view of Lemma 13 (second part), we can find constants C_1 and N_0 such that for $N \geq N_0$

$$P\left(M_N \leq N^{a(k+1)}\right) \leq C_1\, N^{a(k+1)-1/2}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

(Note that we can get such a uniform estimate, see Remark 14). Hence, for N large enough, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\gamma(N)-1} P\left(M_N \le N^{a(k+1)}\right)(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\, N^{-a(k)/2}$$

$$\le C_1(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)} \sum_{k=1}^{\gamma(N)-1} N^{a(k+1)-1/2-a(k)/2} = (\gamma(N)-1)\, C_1\,(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\, N^{-1/4}$$

since $a(k+1)-a(k)/2 = 1/4$. This shows that $E\left[r_N\right] \precsim \gamma(N)\,(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\, N^{-1/4}$ and therefore, we deduce from (4.27) and the definition of $\gamma(N)$ that

$$K_{2,2}(N) \precsim (\log\log N)\,(\log N)^{2/(\alpha\wedge\beta)+1/4}\, N^{-1/2}, \quad N\to\infty.$$

Combining this with (4.26), it follows that

$$J_2(N) \precsim (\log\log N)\,(\log N)^{2/(\alpha\wedge\beta)+1/4}\, N^{-1/2}, \quad N\to\infty. \tag{4.29}$$

Step 3:
It remains to consider J_4. The line of reasoning is now clear. Setting $g(N) := N^{1/2}/f(N) = \sqrt{N/\log N}$, one can check that J_4 is bounded from above by

$$E\left[1_{\{M_N\ge g(N)\}} P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X^+_{M_n} \le 1 | \mathcal{F}^S_N\right) 1_{\{-I_N\ge g(N)\}} P\left(\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} X^-_{-I_n} \le 1 | \mathcal{F}^S_N\right)\right]$$

$$\precsim P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,g(N)]} X^+_t \le c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\right) P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,g(N)]} X^-_t \le c(\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\right) + N^{-1/2}$$

$$\precsim \left((\log N)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)} g(N)^{-1/2}\right)^2 = (\log N)^{1/2+2/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}\, N^{-1/2}.$$

This finishes the proof. □

Remark 24. The proof reveals that the survival exponent is equal to 1/2 no matter if $E\left[Y_1\right]=0$ or not for quite different reasons. If $E\left[Y_1\right]>0$, $S_N/N \to E\left[Y_1\right]$ by the law of large numbers, so the random walk diverges to $+\infty$ with speed N and the survival probability is determined by the right branch X^+ of X.
If $E\left[Y_1\right]=0$, the random walks oscillates and typical fluctuations are of order $\pm\sqrt{N}$. The survival probability up to time N is therefore approximately equal to the probability that both X^+ and X^- stay below 1 until time $\sqrt{N}$. By independence of X^+ and X^-, this probability is equal to the product of these two probabilities which are each of order $N^{-1/4}$.

Clearly, the analogue of Theorem 17 also holds for two-sided Lévy processes. We state this result without proof.

Theorem 25. *Let $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ denote a two-sided Lévy process with branches X^+, X^-, $E\left[X^-_1\right] = E\left[X^+_1\right] = 0$ and $X^-_1, X^+_1 \in \mathcal{X}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha\in(0,1]$. Let $(Y_t)_{t\ge1}$ be another Lévy process independent of X with $Y_1\in\mathcal{X}(\beta)$ for some $\beta\in(0,1]$.*

1. If $E[Y_1] = 0$*, then for any* $\epsilon > 0$*, we have*

$$T^{-1/2} \lesssim P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(Y_t) \le 1\right) \lesssim T^{-1/2} (\log T)^{1/2+1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}, \quad T \to \infty.$$

2. If $E[Y_1] \neq 0$*, then*

$$T^{-1/2} \lesssim P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(Y_t) \le 1\right) \lesssim T^{-1/2} (\log T)^{1/(\alpha\wedge\beta)}, \quad T \to \infty.$$

4.3 Fractional Brownian motion

Let $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ denote a fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$, i.e. X is a centered Gaussian process with covariance

$$E[X_t X_s] = \frac{1}{2}\left(|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right), \qquad s,t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $s < 0 < t$, one can check that $E[X_t X_s] > 0$ if $H < 1/2$ and $E[X_t X_s] < 0$ if $H > 1/2$. Hence, the branches of a fBm are not independent unless $H = 1/2$ and Theorem 19 is not applicable. However, it is not difficult to find an appropriate generalization if the survival exponent of the two-sided process is known. We now state such a result for fBm.

Proposition 26. *Let* $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *denote a fBm with Hurst parameter* $H \in (0,1)$ *and* $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ *a self-similar process of index* $\lambda > 0$ *with continuous paths. Assume that for any* $0 < \eta < 1$*, it holds that*

$$E\left[\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} Y_t\right)^{-\eta}\right] < \infty, \quad E\left[\left(-\inf_{t\in[0,1]} Y_t\right)^{-\eta}\right] < \infty.$$

Then

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(Y_t) \le 1\right) = T^{-\lambda+o(1)}, \quad T \to \infty.$$

In particular, the survival exponent does not depend on H*.*

Proof. By Theorem 3 of Molchan (1999), we have for any $H \in (0,1)$ that

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[-T,T]} X_t \le 1\right) = T^{-1+o(1)}, \quad T \to \infty.$$

The lower bound of the proposition can be proved just as in Theorem 19.
For the upper bound, fix $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. Then we can find a constant $C > 0$ such that

$P\left(\sup_{t\in[-T,T]} X_t \le 1\right) \le CT^{-1+\epsilon}$ for any $T > 0$. Moreover, since the paths of Y are continuous, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X(Y_t) \le 1\right) = E\left[P\left(\sup_{t\in[I_T,M_T]} X_t \le 1|\mathcal{F}_T^Y\right)\right] \\
&\le E\left[1_{\{M_T\ge -I_T\}}P\left(\sup_{[I_T,-I_T]} X_t \le 1|\mathcal{F}_T^Y\right)\right] + E\left[1_{\{M_T\le -I_T\}}P\left(\sup_{[-M_T,M_T]} X_t \le 1|\mathcal{F}_T^Y\right)\right] \\
&\le C\left(E\left[(-I_T)^{-1+\epsilon}\right] + E\left[M_T^{-1+\epsilon}\right]\right) = C\left(E\left[(-I_1)^{-1+\epsilon}\right] + E\left[M_1^{-1+\epsilon}\right]\right) T^{-\lambda+\lambda\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

References

H. Allouba and W. Zheng. Brownian-time processes: the PDE connection and the half-derivative generator. *Ann. Probab.*, 29(4):1780–1795, 2001.

F. Aurzada and C. Baumgarten. Survival probabilities of weighted random walks. *ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 8:235–258, 2011.

F. Aurzada and S. Dereich. Universality of the asymptotics of the one-sided exit problem for integrated processes. *to appear in Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 2011+.

F. Aurzada and M. Lifshits. On the small deviation problem for some iterated processes. *Elec. J. Prob.*, 14(68):1992–2010, 2009.

J. Bertoin. The inviscid burgers equation with Brownian initial velocity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 193(2):397–406, 1998.

N. H. Bingham. Limit theorems in fluctuation theory. *Advances in Appl. Probability*, 5:554–569, 1973.

K. Burdzy. Some path properties of iterated Brownian motion. In *Seminar on Stochastic Processes, 1992 (Seattle, WA, 1992)*, volume 33 of *Progr. Probab.*, pages 67–87. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.

X. Chen and W. V. Li. Quadratic functionals and small ball probabilities for the m-fold integrated Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.*, 31(2):1052–1077, 2003.

A. de Acosta. Small deviations in the functional central limit theorem with applications to functional laws of the iterated logarithm. *Ann. Prob.*, 11(1):76–101, 1983.

A. Dembo and F. Gao. Persistence of iterated partial sums. *Preprint*, 2011.

R. A. Doney. Moments of ladder heights in random walks. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 17(1): 248–252, 1980.

R. A. Doney. *Fluctuation theory for Lévy processes*, volume 1897 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 2007.

W. Feller. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. II, Second Edition*. Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1970.

B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov. *Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables*. Translated from the Russian, annotated, and revised by K. L. Chung. With appendices by J. L. Doob and P. L. Hsu. Revised edition. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills., Ont., 1968.

T. Grzywny and M. Ryznar. Two-sided optimal bounds for Green functions of half-spaces for relativistic α-stable process. *Potential Analysis*, 28(3):201–239, 2008.

A. E. Kyprianou. *Introductory lectures on fluctuations of Lévy processes with applications*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

W. Li and Q. Shao. Lower tail probabilities for Gaussian processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 32 (1A):216–242, 2004.

S. Majumdar. Persistence in nonequlilibrium systems. *Current Science*, 77(3):370–375, 1999.

G. Molchan. Maximum of a fractional Brownian motion: Probabilities of small values. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 205(1):97–111, 1999.

S. J. Montgomery-Smith. Comparison of sums of independent identically distributed random vectors. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 14(2):281–285 (1994), 1993.

S. V. Nagaev. Large deviations of sums of independent random variables. *Ann. Probab.*, 7(5):745–789, 1979.

E. Nane. Higher order PDE's and iterated processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(5): 2681–2692, 2008.

B. Rosén. On the asymptotic distribution of sums of independent indentically distributed random variables. *Ark. Mat.*, 4:323–332, 1962.

S. Sawyer. Uniform limit theorems for the maximum cummulative sum in probability. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132:363–367, 1968.

Y. Sinai. Distribution of some functionals of the integral of a random walk. *Theoret. and Math. Phys.*, 90:219–241, 1992.

C. Stone. A local limit theorem for nonlattice multi-dimensional distribution functions. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 36:546–551, 1965.